UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,002	09/23/2005	Mugihei Ikemizu	2936-0249PUS1	1240
	7590 06/30/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747	CH 3/4 22040 0747	CORMIER, DAVID G		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1792		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/30/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/550,002	IKEMIZU ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
DAVID CORMIER	1792		

	DAVID CORMIER	1792					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 19 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	t, or other evidence, www. with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in tater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	date of the final rejection of the FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extern Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, It (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-5,7,8 and 10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).				
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>0619200</u>	9					
/Michael Barr/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Hird does not disclose water being added during the out of correctoin process; however, this is merely intended use of the washing machine of Hird. Hird could be used in such a way as to add more water to the load during an imbalance correction process. And because Hird discloses a washing machine with an imbalance sensing and correction capability and it would have been obvious to have the ion eluting capability of Ando et al. for the antibacterial effect, the rejection of record meets all of Applicant's structural limitations. The further claimed limitations are considered to be intended use of the apparatus and are not futher limiting in so far as the structure of the apparatus is concerned and would not be given patentable weight. For these reasons, the rejections of the claims over combinations of Hird, Ando and Jeon are maintained.

Regarding the Information Disclosure Statement filed 06/19/2009, a statement under 37 CFR 1.97(e) has not been submitted and a fee as required by 37 CFR 1.17(p) has not been paid, so the IDS will not be considered.